Some Democracies Are Not Wonderful. Posted On:December 31, 1969

I recently heard an idealist complaining that President Obama was not enthusiastically supporting the $\223$ democracy movement $\224$ in the Arab world. He could not

understand why we were intervening (tepidly) in Libya, but not in Yemen or Syria. To this idealist, democracy is something we profess to promote\227so why aren\222t we?

The trouble with this view is that there are two kinds of democracy: liberal and illiberal. Liberal democracy has imbedded in it a number of essential features:

- \225 Private property rights;
- \225 Protection of minorities\227both political minorities and status minorities (religion

and women);

- \225 Independent courts with the teeth to enforce their judgments;
- \225 An independent press, whose job it is to keep an eye on abuse of power;
- \225 Literacy of more than 50%, including women;
- \225 A middle class larger than the richest or poorest classes;
- \225 Separation of government and religion;
- \225 Recognition of the equality of men and women under the law;
- \225 Voting in free and fair elections, with the losers stepping down with grace.

Notice that voting is the last element in a liberal democracy. The United States expanded the franchise over a 200-year period. The last to be enfranchised were women, in the 1920s.

An illiberal democracy is like pushbutton democracy; everyone votes, ready or not, and majority rules, just or not. For example, recent polls in Egypt showed that a vast majority would vote for death for blasphemy or \223insults\224 to Islam. This is not acceptable as a democracy that the United States should back.

How can we promote democracy among the unenlightened when even we Americans do not understand the difference between pushbutton and liberal democracy? The illiberal democracy now in force in my own state of California is a case in point:

- \225 The Initiative Process. Voters vote up or down all sorts of new laws that have neither legislative hearings nor provision for funding. California is no longer the leader in creative and good governance. This system has crashed our economy.
- of Congressional districts so that they remain either majority Democrat or majority Republican. It is difficult to have real party changes in major elections, or to vote out really bad representatives. But change is coming.
- \225 Primary Elections. The primary election process lets the most extreme fringes of each party select candidates. A candidate must either lie (to please the political fringes to become the party\222s candidate), or if he or she genuinely reflects the party fringes, is unelectable in the general election. How does this represent the majority of voters, who are in the middle?

How can we fix this\227and model a better democracy for the world? We cannot immediately dump the Initiative Process, but we can mandate that each proposition include the costs for implementation. This would eliminate the majority of frivolous propositions.

The primary process should be changed back to what it once was: selection of candidates by diligent and devoted national (or state) party leaders. People who are willing to put in time to serve on the state or national committees have my support in selecting a good candidate. This was once called \223the smoke filled room.\224 It is no

longer smoke filled, and it now has women there at all levels of political experience.

Finally, for all the emerging democracies out there as well as for our problematic ones (like California), constitutions must be written and/or revised so that liberal democracy can flourish. California may soon have a constitutional conference. The Middle East countries must do the same\227and yes, that includes Israel\227one of the

better democracies in the world, yet with real problems of small minorities (the religious parties) with undemocratic power over the majority.

President Obama, in his speech about support for democracy across the Middle East, made clear that it is only liberal democracies that we will support, and that countries that want to join the modern world must carry out serious reforms of their societies. They must quit persecuting women and religious minorities and stop jailing peaceful demonstrators. That would be a start.

678 words

Dr. Laina Farhat-Holzman is a historian, lecturer, and author of How Do You Know That? You may contact her at Lfarhat102@aol.com or www.globalthink.net.